GUIDE TO REVIEWING MANUSCRIPTS

Please provide a constructive assessment that identifies both the strengths and weaknesses of the manuscript. You may wish to consider the following in evaluating the manuscript:

1. Does the paper make a contribution to geography? Do you consider the paper to be of major importance or only minor importance? How original is it? Is this paper sufficiently different from other published work to warrant publication?

2. Is the material clearly presented? Are the purpose and rationale for the paper clearly specified? Is the writing style of suitable quality? Are there some passages that should be stated more succinctly? Some that should be deleted?

3. Are the methods, reasoning, and interpretations sound? Do the data support the conclusions? Does the author relate the findings of this study to those of previous studies?

4. Is the relevant literature sufficiently referenced?

5. Are the illustrations and tables suitable, are they all necessary, and are they of publishable quality?

6. What do you consider to be the major flaws in the paper? In your view, can these be remedied by revisions? How might the paper be improved?

7. Do you recommend acceptance, rejection, or revision of the manuscript?

If you would like to make additional comments on the manuscript, please do so and return them with your review. We will send your written comments to the author anonymously.